

## Sample

Context: This is the final report I wrote based on research I conducted into the efficacy of an internal HR wiki.

### GTM Monthly Update Research & Recommendations

February 25, 2020

The purpose of this document is to 1) share findings from recent research on the GTM Monthly Update wiki, and 2) make recommendations based on those findings.

#### 1. BACKGROUND

In March 2018, GTM created the bimonthly update wiki to share program news, events, and reminders with the TM community. We designed the Update to provide tactical program information for our business line Talent Management partners and interested HR stakeholders, thereby allowing more strategic discussions in the monthly TM community meeting. When the Update launched, we received positive feedback:

- I just wanted to say that I find this single source of updates to be super helpful. We do have something similar for HRBPs, and I think it helps streamline all the communications and deadlines that we need to track. I can imagine that something like this might even eliminate the need for some of the meetings we have. – Consumer TM Director
- This is a really terrific newsletter and a big comm improvement. Thank you!! It's a simple and structured way to consume large amounts of info that we can use and deliver to our HRBPs in a customer friendly way. – Finance, Legal, GCA TM Program Manager
- Love the format ... Clear, easy to read, helps me search through by topic. – TM Sr. Manager

Anecdotal evidence indicates GTM uses the Update to gain visibility into other programs.

The goal of this research was to assess the effectiveness of the GTM Monthly Update wiki. For it to be effective, by our partners should visit the wiki regularly. Users should also feel the information in it is useful, timely, trustworthy, and sufficiently detailed. To measure these criteria, we added a newly built visitor tracker to the wiki in December 2019 and conducted a survey in January 2020.

#### 2. FINDINGS

##### Summary

Twenty-seven people took the survey: 12 from GTM, 11 from Line TM, 1 HRBP, 2 other, and 1 unidentified. Results were disparate. GTM and the HRBP generally assessed the wiki more favorably than line TM, though 73% of line TM indicated the wiki does help them do their job. Respondents in every group noted problems with timeliness and level of detail. However, 59% overall indicated they did not think another mechanism would be more effective (we should note that 45% of line TM chose not to answer this question). This seems to indicate uncertainty about the best solution to meet their needs.

The table below summarizes key data from GTM and line TM; see Appendix A for full survey data.

Table 1: Positive quantitative results	GTM	Line TM
I trust that the information in the GTM Update is correct.	10 (77%)	7 (64%)
The information in the GTM Update helps me do my job.	2 (18%)	8 (73%)
With the GTM Update, I can self service to find the information I need.	7 (64%)	4 (40%)
The information in the GTM Update comes at the right time, e.g., far enough in advance for actions I need to take.	6 (60%)	3 (27%)
There is enough detail in the GTM Update.	6 (60%)	3 (27%)

##### Usage

The email announcing the Update goes to 223 people every month from GTM, line TM, and interested stakeholders. During the 2-month research period, there were 196 wiki visits from 72 unique visitors in five countries. Visitors from GTM numbered 50 (including six wiki authors), 35% of the GTM audience. Visitors from

## Sample

*Context: This is the final report I wrote based on research I conducted into the efficacy of an internal HR wiki.*

line TM numbered 18, or 35% of the line TM audience. This indicates that GTM has become a significant user. The relatively low usage by line TM may be attributable to the wiki's lack of detail and timeliness.

### Trustworthiness

While 77% of GTM had a positive response to the statement "I trust that the information in the GTM Update is correct," line TM's assessment was somewhat lower at 64%. This may be because being closer to the source of information engenders more trust on the part of GTM.

### Usefulness

Three criteria were used to measure the wiki's perceived usefulness: 1) It helps me do my job, 2) I can self serve to find the information I need, and 3) It helps me align my work with GTM priorities. Responses indicate that GTM and line TM have different needs and expectations of the Update. While only 18% of GTM respondents (versus 73% of line TM) said the Update helped them do their job, something is driving increased visits from GTM; this may benefit from further research. While 64% of GTM respondents agreed that the wiki allowed them to self service to find the information they need, only 40% of line TM said so. This is likely due to the lack of detail line TM identified below.

### Timeliness

The item "*The information in the GTM Update comes at the right time*" had a 50% positive response rate (PRR) from GTM, but only a 27% PRR from line TM. This may indicate that line TM has less line of sight and a greater reliance on the Update, and the wiki is currently not meeting their needs.

### Detail

The other item with a low PRR was "*There is enough detail in the GTM Update.*" Sixty percent of GTM and 27% of line TM agreed. The anecdotes also supported this. The lack of detail may also be the driving factor behind line TM's low ratings on usefulness.

### Further Analysis

The contrast between these results and the initial anecdotal feedback from March 2018 indicated that the updates have become less robust over time. Qualitative survey results from all segments supported this hypothesis:

- *The email is inconsistently updated and doesn't provide timely information on what is going on in GTM – GTM*
- *Include more details. The update reads like a Twitter feed, not an update. – Line TM*
- *This month, the content was light even though I can think of several updates that could've made it into the update (e.g., Steam VP promo moving to Feb. 4 and the new deadline is Jan. 27). – Line TM*

To verify the anecdotes, we compared the content from the first four archived 2018 updates with the four most recent updates, and found an average of 50% less content in the recent ones (555 words vs. 1100).

While the wiki is one of six methods GTM currently uses to communicate with the TM community (see Appendix B for a full list), there is no other mechanism that provides incremental program updates for this audience. To date, the wiki fills a need unmet by other mechanisms, particularly since we also hear anecdotally that TM leads aren't cascading to their process execution teams.

Both quantitative and qualitative data indicate that while the Update needs improvement, it plays an important role for both GTM and line TM:

- *For me the monthly update is ... a mechanism to have sight of what else might be happening. – GTM*
- *This update was a good way to move some of the straightforward information ... to free up the TM Community meeting for topics that actually require decision or input. But the content of the monthly update has become quite light over the last several months. – Line TM*

## Sample

Context: This is the final report I wrote based on research I conducted into the efficacy of an internal HR wiki.

- [P]lease remember that we, as TM partners, need to know almost as much information as you do to represent any program or process changes to HRBPs and the business (aka: the line). The less clarity or information you provide, the less informed your end customers will be.” – Line TM

### 3. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on research findings, we recommend these next steps:

1. Continue publishing monthly updates to the wiki
2. Share survey insights with wiki content owners to a) address immediate concerns about detail and timeliness, and b) communicate the value of the Update for line TM
3. Meet with product and program managers to identify team mechanisms we could potentially leverage for the update content
4. Study how our TM community-facing mechanisms are currently working, and collaborate with Robert Pratt and Ashley White on their GTM-wide mechanisms review, particularly for those that rely on TM leads to cascade information
5. In June 2020, after three months of that collaboration, investigate whether quality has improved and whether the wiki still fills a need unmet elsewhere
6. Consider the implications of GTM’s growing use of the wiki

## Sample

Context: This is the final report I wrote based on research I conducted into the efficacy of an internal HR wiki.

110

### Appendix A: Survey and Wiki Data

Quantitative results by audience**		Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree
1. I use the GTM Monthly Update.	Totals	5	6	9	7
	GTM	0	3	5	4
	HRBP	1	0	0	0
	Line TM	4	3	3	2
	Other	0	0	1	1
2. I trust that the information in the GTM Update is correct.	Totals	11	8	7	2
	GTM	7	3	2	1
	HRBP	1	0	0	0
	Line TM	3	4	3	1
	Other	0	1	2	0
3. The information in the GTM Update helps me do my job.*	Totals	6	6	8	7
	GTM	0	2	6	3
	HRBP	1	0	0	0
	Line TM	4	4	1	2
	Other	0	0	1	1
4. With the GTM Update, I can self service to find the information I need.	Totals	3	9	9	3
	GTM	2	5	3	1
	HRBP	1	0	0	0
	Line TM	0	4	5	1
	Other	0	0	1	1
5. The information in the GTM Update comes at the right time, e.g., far enough in advance for actions I need to take.	Totals	0	10	12	2
	GTM	0	6	3	1
	HRBP	0	1	0	0
	Line TM	0	3	8	0
	Other	0	0	2	1
6. The GTM Update helps me align my work with GTM priorities.	Totals	3	5	14	3
	GTM	2	1	7	0
	HRBP	1	0	0	0
	Line TM	0	4	6	1
	Other	0	0	1	2
7. There is enough detail in the GTM Update.	Totals	0	9	8	8
	GTM	0	6	1	3
	HRBP	0	0	1	0
	Line TM	0	3	4	4
	Other	0	0	2	1
Totals from positive to negative		28	53	67	32

\*While the wiki may not help GTM do its job, increased viewership indicates they see value in it.

\*\*Results may not always total 27 since not everyone answered every question.

8. I would prefer to receive the GTM Update	Weekly 4	Bimonthly 6	Monthly 8	Quarterly 5
---	-------------	----------------	--------------	----------------

114

115

116

117

## Sample

Context: This is the final report I wrote based on research I conducted into the efficacy of an internal HR wiki.

### 118 9. How would you modify the GTM Update to make it more useful?

GTM	HRBP	Line TM
<p>More updates on product roadmap and more consistent updates overall</p> <p>Feedback mechanism</p> <p>more information and detail provided. Seems like there are not any updated</p> <p>The email is inconsistently updated and doesn't provide timely information on what is going on in GTM.</p> <p>I would recommend exploring alternative mechanisms. I also spend a lot of time updating this mechanism so it is useful for line teams but the questions I receive from line teams wouldn't be answered by this mechanism</p>	<p>More explanation on the Why, Roadmap, and Strategic decisions being discussed by GTM that would help TM/HRBPs understand more about what's coming.</p>	<p>This month, the content was light even though I can think of several updates that could've made it into the update (e.g. Steam VP promo moving to Feb 4 and the new deadline is Jan 27</p> <p>Include more details. The update reads like a Twitter feed, not an update. I would advise finding other examples of really effective, informative updates and trying to copy those.</p> <p>include highlights &amp; requests for action in the body of the email.</p> <p>more information--most of the fields are blank</p> <p>Make it part of product management processes</p> <p>Adding in a section within each program about the roadmap/projects to come or being worked on</p> <p>Provide more detail!</p>

119

120

10. Would another mechanism be more effective?			
Segment	Yes	No	No answer
GTM	1	9	2
HRBP	0	1	0
Line TM	2	4	5
Other	1	2	0

11. If you answered "yes" above, what mechanism(s) would you suggest?	
GTM	Roadmap reviews and line syncs
Line	MBR updates, summits Content directly in the body of an email, or at least in a doc attached
Other	People should be able to opt-in to news relevant to them, instead of noise. Also, I don't think information streaming in a purely top-down way serves its intended purpose.

121

### 122 12. Is there any other feedback about the GTM Update you would like to share?

GTM	Line TM
<p>For me the monthly update is more of "good to know" information, but not information I "need". The information I that I do "need" I would've already obtained (via formal engagements with the relevant parties) so this is mechanism to have sight of what else might be happening.</p> <p>Is there a GTM update? It might be overkill with all of the MBR's coming out this quarter as well... if this just highlighted high level info accross, then that would be helpful. however, i wouldnt expect program owners/managers to double down on an MBR and this update...</p>	<p>If we continue to only meet once a month as a TM community, I'd strongly recommend that you provide weekly (or bi-weekly) updates on the Wiki and go into much more detail on these program/process updates. Provide more links to what exactly you are referencing, so we don't have to spend extra time trying to find that source ourselves. Finally, I would ask that the team please remember that we, as TM partners, need to know almost as much information as you do to represent any program or process changes to HRBPs and the business (aka: the line). The less clarity or information you provide, the less informed your end customers will be.</p> <p>This update was a good way to move some of the straight forward information update type of content from the TM Community meeting to free up the TM Community meeting for topics that actually require decision or input. But the content of the monthly update has become quite light over the last several months. Also, since Comp is an integral part of the Q1 process, it might make sense to have a comp section as well.</p>

## Sample

*Context: This is the final report I wrote based on research I conducted into the efficacy of an internal HR wiki.*

13. Please select the option that most closely aligns to your role:

GTM	HRBP	Line	Other	Unidentified
12	1	11	2	1

Wiki visits by type		
Visitor type	Wiki visits Dec. 5 – Feb. 14	Unique visitors Dec. 5 – Feb. 14
Author	70	6 (8%)
GTM	91	44 (61%)
Line	35	18 (25%)
Total	196	72

## Sample

Context: This is the final report I wrote based on research I conducted into the efficacy of an internal HR wiki.

### Appendix B: GTM mechanisms for communicating to TM community

Mechanism	Owner	Audience	Purpose	Timing
1. Product Engagement QBR	Robert **** (and GTM leadership)	Line TM leads	Forecast GTM product activity in coming quarter	1 month before quarter begins
2. GTM Monthly Update	Program and product owners	TM community, GTM as secondary	Update on all GTM programs/products	2 <sup>nd</sup> Friday monthly
3. TM Community Meeting	Mitch ****	Line TM leads, sub-leads, and PMs	Review proposals and hotly debated topics	4 <sup>th</sup> Thursday monthly
4. GTM MBR	GTM leadership	Beth, HRD, and Line TM leads	Communicate hits/misses and goal status	Monthly
5. TM Line Sync Call	Kelsey ****	Line TM leads, sub-leads, and PMs	Open forum/office hours for TM/GTM sync	Every Tuesday at 3:00 PST
6. TM Line Lead Chime Chat	Robert ****	Line TM leads, sub-leads, and PMs	Answer TM questions and share incremental updates	Daily as needed

## Sample

Context: This is the final report I wrote based on research I conducted into the efficacy of an internal HR wiki.

### Appendix C: Survey copy

The GTM Monthly Update provides a comprehensive view across all GTM programs. Its purpose is to share program news, events, and reminders with our partners so they can plan and disseminate to their community as appropriate. In the spirit of continuous improvement, we seek your feedback on this mechanism.

To what extent do you agree with the following statements? [choices will be: *Strongly disagree* - *Somewhat disagree* - *Somewhat agree* - *Strongly agree*]

1. I use the GTM Monthly Update.
2. I trust that the information in the GTM Update is correct.
3. The information in the GTM Update helps me do my job.
4. With the GTM Update, I can self service to find the information I need.
5. The information in the GTM Update comes at the right time, e.g., far enough in advance for actions I need to take.
6. The GTM Update helps me align my work with GTM priorities.
7. There is enough detail in the GTM Update.
8. I would prefer to receive the GTM Update [Weekly/ Every other week/ Monthly/ Quarterly]
9. How would you modify the GTM Update to make it more useful? [open]
10. Would another mechanism be more effective? [y/n]
11. If you answered "yes" in #5, what mechanism(s) would you suggest? [open]
12. Is there any other feedback about the GTM Update you would like to share? [open]
13. Please select the option that most closely aligns to your role: [Line talent management/ HRBP/ GTM / other]